Geophysical risk factors in mammology
A.P. Dubrov, N.F. Zavitaeva
(Institute of Reflexology of the Federal Scientific Clinical
an experimental center for traditional methods of diagnosis and treatment
Roszdrav, Moscow)

Summary

The analysis of works on the action of geophysical anomalies on the occurrence of mastopathy is given. Geophysical anomalies are considered as one of the important risk factors provoking the occurrence of this kind of diseases in women. The article describes the effectiveness of the use of electropunctural diagnostic methods (vegetative resonance test) and bioresonance therapy in mammology, as well as ways of protecting people from the action of geophysical anomalies.

Geoecological risk factors

The problem of mastopathy is extremely important due to the wide spread of this disease. Research in the field of biogeophysics has discovered new factors in the epidemiology of oncological diseases, including breast cancer in women - geological and environmental risk factors [1, 2]. Geoecofactors of risk have a certain impact on the human environment and health, often provoking cancer. They are associated with geophysical anomalies, mainly with geological faults in the earth's crust, which play an important role in the creation of dangerous geoactive zones, usually called geopathogenic zones [3]. In these zones, the intensity of geophysical and geochemical fields is dramatically changed, in particular, the parameters of the geomagnetic field, electric potential, electromagnetic fields, including pulsed radio frequencies, radioactive radiation of rocks and waters,

Geoecofactors of risk have a harmful effect on the human body in places of residence (sleeping place) or in the case of a long stay in the area of their action (workplace). The degree of risk depends on the combination of acting factors and their intensity, and the rating scale is built on the principle of increasing the degree of risk: 0 - no risk; 1 - weak; 2 - possible; 3 - significant; 4 - a very significant hazard [2].

Geophysical anomalies and their role in the creation of geoactive zones Geopathogenic zones (GPZ) are a special type of geophysical anomalies, in which three geophysical factors play the main role - geologically active faults, intersections of underground water flows and global structures in the form of grids on the Earth's surface [4]. Particular danger in

GPZs represent the nodes of the global energy grids by E. Hartman and M. Curry, named after their discoverers. These grids were discovered decades ago and represent:

- 1. Hartmann's rectangular grid is oriented along the cardinal directions N S, s lines 2 m long, and W E with lines 2.5 m and 20–25 cm wide, which alternate with double lines of increased intensity, running every 5 and 10 m;
- 2. The diagonal Curry grid has the form of equilateral rhombuses with sides 4–4 m and a width of 25–50 cm, going in the N W to S E direction in relation to the Hartmann grid. The suppression of the nodes of these grids and underground water flows creates the most dangerous places for people on Earth, which can lead to a strong change in the functional state of a person and subsequently to the emergence of tumor processes.

The pathogenicity of the GPZ varies depending on the parameters of geophysical factors - the width of geologically active faults, the intensity of electromagnetic fields, the presence of radioactive radiation, etc. The degree of pathogenicity of ILI can be assessed in different ways, including by the number of cases of cancer. The Austrian researcher K. Bachler cites the following figures from her practice: the intersection of the Curry grid node with an underground water flow led to 69 cases of cancer; one line of Curry with an underground water flow - to 39; Curry grid point - to 13, two streams - to 12, etc. [5]. The English researcher of the problem of the action of geoecofactors A. Riggs notes in his work that all the cases of breast and ovarian cancer he studied in women were associated with sleeping places under the combined effect of radiation,

In the complex work of Russian scientists - geologists, geochemists, geophysicists, biologists, carried out together with physicians in St. Petersburg in 1989-1992, it was found that in houses located within the GPP, the number of oncological diseases increases 2.8 times, and at the intersections of two active zones, even 4.1 times as compared to buildings outside the GPZ [7].

It should be noted that in addition to active zones of natural origin, dangerous technopathogenic zones are created in urban environments due to various sources of electromagnetic fields and radiation associated with underground electric cables, telephone and television communication channels and with the most complex infrastructure of a huge urban communal underground and ground economy, including in itself a heat-water-sewer network. All this creates a special hydrogeological and geophysical regime in cities, and therefore GPZs in such conditions are always associated and associated with the action of man-made factors, and in the classical form described above, they can be found only in rural areas.

Geoecofactors and the value of methods of electropuncture diagnostics and bioresonance therapy in mammology

In connection with the development of methods of electropuncture diagnostics (vegetative

resonance test) and bioresonance therapy (BRT) and devices for their implementation ("Peresvet-Voll", "IMEDIS-EXPERT", "MINIEKSPERT-DT"), it became possible to test the geopathogenic load (HPN) in patients who sought medical help, those. the influence of geoecological factors acting in the area where the person is [8]. The effectiveness of the use of bioresonance techniques in mammology follows from the following messages presented by practicing doctors - specialists in the methods of autonomic resonance test (ART) and BRT.

During a medical examination using the ART method, FPG was determined in 68 cancer patients: 27 patients with breast cancer, 14 with lung cancer, 18 with uterine cancer, 9 with stomach cancer. In 57 patients, the presence of HPN was found in their places of residence, in 4 - at the workplace, and only in 7 people (12%) the connection between the disease and the effect of GPI was not revealed [9]. In another medical study, out of 1036 people examined by the ART method, 284 people (27.4%) were diagnosed with HPN, i.e. close relationship with geoecofactors of risk of active zones [10, 11]. It is also reported that of 183 patients who consulted a doctor for cancer, 92% had HPN: of them, patients with breast carcinoma accounted for 20%, colon carcinoma 11%, lung carcinoma 4% and the remaining 65% with diseases liver, pancreas, ovaries, prostate, sarcoma,

As mentioned earlier, the only correct way to protect a person from the damaging effects of the GPZ and to free him from the GPN is to remove him from the core. The opinion of specialist doctors is more than categorical: "... we recommend all doctors who practice methods of electroacupuncture diagnostics and therapy to begin examining their patients by identifying geopathogenic complications and taking measures to eliminate it. To treat a patient who is sleeping, working or resting in a geopathogenic zone is a thankless and ineffective occupation "[10].

BRT methods help to eliminate the harmful effect of FPG in case of mastopathy. For this purpose, it is proposed to use preparations of inverse oscillations with GPZ, if they are found at home or in the workplace [9–14]. BRT in combination with homeopathic (Konium 6, 12) and bioresonance drugs can be an effective method of treating mastopathy: of 42 sick women, aged 18 to 47 years and the duration of mastopathy disease from 1 to 5 years, in 37 (88.1 %) positive results were obtained - lumps in the area of the mammary glands disappeared, pain subsided, in 3 patients (7.1%) the results were satisfactory, and only in two cases (4.8%) the treatment was ineffective [13].

Physicians-specialists in the field of ART and BRT drew attention to another very significant point in the use of these methods in determining the effect and neutralization of HPN in patients [9]. When examining by the ART method, the question arises - is the oncological disease a hereditary burden if the patient has a clearly tested HPN? The researchers who raised this very important question cite the following data as an example: in the group of women (56 people) suffering from uterine fibroids, 39 (70%) were found to have

the presence of HPN, among them a hereditary predisposition was detected in 28 women (72%), and in 11 (28%) it was not found.

The authors of this study draw the following important conclusions from the results obtained: firstly, "... recognizing FPI as one of the most important factors of the triggering mechanism both in oncology and in other indicated diseases, the doctor and the patient get rid of the hopeless hereditary factor", and, secondly, second, "... having mastered the ART and BRT methods, which make it possible to effectively deal with this problem, we can successfully carry out preventive measures both in order to prevent relapses of the disease and in order to prevent the development of a serious disease as such" [9].

Oncologists should be aware of the degree of danger of geo-ecological risk factors operating in urban conditions, and take into account the achievements of bioresonance medicine in identifying geoactive zones, and also take into account the conclusions and recommendations of specialists on the identification and elimination of HPA in patients who initially refer to them for the success of the subsequent their specialized treatment.

Literature

- 1. Osipov V.I. Zones of geological risk on the territory of Moscow // Bulletin RAS. 1994. T. 64, No. 1. S. 33–45.
- 2. Lyubatskaya R.M., Koff. G.L. Lithosphere faults and emergencies. M .: Ross. eco-friendly federal inform. agency, 1997.
 - 3. Dubrov A.P. Home ecology and human health. Ufa: Word, 1995.
 - 4. Mettler M. Netzgitter Handbuch. Moser Verl .: Zurich, 1990.
- 5. Bachler K. Erkentnisse und Bekentnisse einer Rutegangerin. Veritas Verl .: Linz, 1988.
- 6. Riggs A. Earth radiation // Caduceus, 2000. N.29. R. 31–33; Riggs A. First heal the house // Caduceus. 2000. N.49. R. 29–32.
- 7. Melnikov E.K., Musiychuk Yu.I., Potiforov F.I., Rudnik V.A., Rymarev IN AND. Geopathogenic zones myth or reality? SPb .: JSC "Nedra", 1993.
- 8. Dubrov A.P., Meizerov E.E., Zavitaeva N.F., Fadeev A.A., Natakhin M.V. Biomedical aspects of studying the impact of geophysical anomalies on the health of the population // Abstracts and reports. VIII International Conference "Theoretical and Clinical Aspects of the Application of Bioresonance and Multiresonance Therapy". Part I. M .: IMEDIS, 2002. S. 285–301.
- 9. Fedotov N.A., Fedotova E.N. Clinical and diagnostic correlations and role geopathogenic zones in the genesis of severe diseases // Abstracts and reports. IV International Conference "Theoretical and Clinical Aspects of the Application of Bioresonance and Multiresonance Therapy". Part I. M .: IMEDIS, 1998. S. 281–284.
- 10. Gritsenko E.G. Gritsenko A.G. Geopathogenic zones, damaging them effect on the human body // Ibid. S. 277–281.
- 11. Gritsenko E.G., Gritsenko A.G. Biophysical and morphofunctional features of the impact of geopathogenic zones // Abstracts and reports. VI International Conference "Theoretical and Clinical Aspects of Application

bioresonance and multiresonance therapy ". Part I. - M .: IMEDIS, 2000. - S. 141-144.

- 12. Rivkina T. Diagnostics of oncological processes at APK "IMEDIS-VALL" // Abstracts and reports. IX International Conference "Theoretical and Clinical Aspects of the Application of Bioresonance and Multiresonance Therapy". Part I. M .: IMEDIS, 2003. P. 366–371.
- 13. Dontsov E.S. Treatment of mastopathy by the method of bioresonance therapy // Abstracts and reports. IV International Conference "Theoretical and Clinical Aspects of the Application of Bioresonance and Multiresonance Therapy". Part I. M .: IMEDIS, 1998. pp. 176–177.
- 14. Dubrov A.P., Zavitaeva N.F., Lugovenko V.N. Seasonal changes indicator of geopathogenic burden in bioresonance diagnostics // Abstracts and reports. XI International Conference "Theoretical and Clinical Aspects of the Application of Bioresonance and Multiresonance Therapy". Part II. M .: IMEDIS, 2005. S. 257–262.

Dubrov, A.P. Geophysical risk factors in mammology / A.P. Dubrov, N.F. Zavitaeva // Traditional medicine. - 2006. - No. 2 (7). - S.57-59.

To favorites